

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/099,858 03/14/2002 Bonnie M. Davis U 013913-4 4479 EXAMINER 05/17/2006 140 LADAS & PARRY SHARAREH, SHAHNAM J 26 WEST 61ST STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK, NY 10023 1617

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/099,858	DAVIS, BONNIE M.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Shahnam Sharareh	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
	• •	/ 10 057 TO 5VDIDE - 140NTI /	O) OD TUUDTY (00) DAYO	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ma</u>	arch 2006.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-38</u> is/are pending in the application.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)🖾	Claim(s) 1 and 3-38 are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
aرا	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachmen	t(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) Inform	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/099,858

Art Unit: 1617

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 13, 2006 has been entered.

Claims Status

The claims have been examined to the extent that they read on the compound, Galanthamine, which was elected as the species to be examined on the filings dated October 20, 2003 and February 04, 2004. Claims to the extent that they read on Galanthamine are then examined and found to be free of art. Accordingly, claims 38-39 are deemed to be free of art. However, claims are now directed to a new election of species requirement. Examiner also notices that the latest amendment limits the claims to "an LDL-cholesterol level of below 109 mg/dl." However, the claim does not address the source from which the LDL-cholesterol concentration is obtained. (e.x. serum, or tissue, etc...). Examiner suggests clarifying this language in the pending independent claims in response to this Office Action to further avoid any potential rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph.

Claims 1, 3-39 are pending. Claims 1, 3-4, 37-39 were previously directed to the species and claims 5-36 were withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a

Art Unit: 1617

nonelected species. The pending claims are now subject to a new election of species requirement.

Election/Restrictions

The pending claims are directed to the following patentably distinct species of nicotinic receptor modulators encompassing various chemical moieties such as nicotinic allosteric potentiators, acetylcholinesterase inhibitor, and nicotinic agonists. The species are independent or distinct because such genuses of compounds do not share a common core associate with the recited function of modulating nicotinic receptors. For example, nicotine agonists do not have a common core as the acetylcholinesterase inhibitors described by Moorehead et al US Patent 4,800194. Furthermore, each of said genus of compounds can be classified under the US classification system in different subclasses such as class 514, subclasses 215, 343, 75, 700. Thus, a search for all species of nicotinic modulators would amount of undue burden on the Office.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 37 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Applicant is reminded that by species is meant a single compound or analog thereof that meets the written description requirement under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph. The compound may be named in any of four ways (or any combination thereof): (a) according to the IUPAC standard, (b) by a pictorial representation of the compound, (c) by setting forth the specific chemical group that each variable of the Markush group represents, or (d) by naming a claim or an example which itself sets forth a single compound.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Due to complexity of the election, no telephone call was made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/099,858 Page 5

Art Unit: 1617

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shahnam Sharareh whose telephone number is 571-272-0630. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, PhD can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/099,858

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6